

HSV Assessment Policies

Description

by Paul Bonnell, September 24, 2019

Something is inherently wrong with HSV assessment policy

Part of a comment on a recent thread titled "No Excuse for Vandalism or Violence in HSV," prompted me to examine and question HSV Assessment policies a little closer. Sometimes when things are staring you right in the face, you tend to overlook them. Considering that HSV assessments always seemed to be so reasonable, I never paid them much mind until now. Especially, since increased assessments and/or special assessments and fees seem to be a more frequent occurrence.

According to information in that discussion, the current assessment rate is one-half of one percent of a property valued at \$160,000...or, .005 (.5%) which is applicable to all HSV properties. Sounds good, huh? Look closer. Properties of lesser value (say \$100,000) are contributing at a higher rate (.8%) and properties of a higher value (say \$500,000) are contributing at a lower rate (.16%). In fact, if you're a fortunate homeowner of a \$1,000,000 property in HSV, your contribution rate appears to be a lofty .08%. So the owner of a \$100,000 property pays 10 times the contribution rate as the owner of a \$1,000,000 property. There is something inherently wrong with this scenario, at least for the fair-minded.

Cooper assessment model was great...for its time



great...for its time. At its inception, HSV properties were more equally sized and valued. The Village property diversity has grown to a point that has outgrown the fairness of the original concept. The concept has already been thrown out the window with the **2-tier assessment**, anyway.

Seems this might explain the frantic push for the CMP. Flood the Village with pocket neighborhoods and \$200,000 homes that will effectively contribute at a higher rate than more valuable properties thereby assuring the "elite" can reside here virtually free, comparatively speaking.

Time to be FAIR...to everyone

A lot of time, effort and money has been and is spent trying to figure out how to manipulate assessments and fees that ultimately and unfairly benefit the more well-to-do. The solution can be as simple as a flat, across the board assessment rate. Bang, zoom...all HSV financial problems solved in one fell swoop. A .5% effective assessment (or whatever rate you want) for everyone. The ailing widow/widower on Social Security who struggles to make their .8% as well as the millionaire who can make his/her .08% payment from his spare change. Dump the one lot/one fee model...it's already dead anyway. Bury it. It's time to be FAIR....to everyone.

As an ordinary citizen, the above represents my own view of the effect of some of HSV policies and concern that the trending negative and manipulative managerial practices of late will be driving people out rather than bring them in. Referencing the above link and others, it's noted that a number ofpeople have already left the Village from assessment shenanigans and many who are seriouslyconsidering that option. Also, when some of the above information is realized by prospective clientsvia social media and the like, a second thought would be given as to whether the Village is as fair asit's made out to be...

I'm no expert in finances, bylaws or legalese. Just an ordinary citizen who is fair-minded. I hope you all are, too, because a change like this would affect everyone to some degree. To save the village as we know it, In my opinion, it's either something like this or something like the CMP may destroy a lifestyle we've come to cherish.

Ready now for the analyses, discussion and likely shredding of such a plan. Try to be kind and possibly present alternative ideas.

by Paul Bonnell, September 24, 2019

Clipart

Category

default watermark 1. HSV Villager's Opinion

Tags

- 1. hot springs village
- 2. hot springs village arkansas
- 3. hsv assessments
- 4. hsv bod
- 5. hsv POA
- 6. hsv poa hot springs village poa
- 7. hsv two tier

Date Created

09/24/2019

Author

lynn